Conference "Embedded graphs", St.Peterburg, 27-31 OCTOBER 2014.

INTRINSIC METRIC AND STANDARDNESS FOR THE INFINITE GRADED GRAPHS

A.VERSHIK (PETERSBURG DEPTH. OF MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, St.PETERSBURG UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF THE PROBLEMS OF TRANSMISSION OF INFORMATION(MOSCOW))

October 26, 2014

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

1.Graded graphs (Bratteli diagrams). Examples of graded graphs. Family of cotransition probabilities as "Towers of measures".

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Graded graphs (Bratteli diagrams). Examples of graded graphs.
 Family of cotransition probabilities as "Towers of measures".
 Space of paths, invariant (central) measures, main problem.
 Projective (inverse) limit of simplecies and its structure.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Graded graphs (Bratteli diagrams). Examples of graded graphs.
 Family of cotransition probabilities as "Towers of measures".
 Space of paths, invariant (central) measures, main problem.
 Projective (inverse) limit of simplecies and its structure.
 Transfer of metric for by-partive graphs as Kantorovich metric.
 Iteration of transfer and intrinsic metric.
 Uniform compactness and standardness of graph and projective

limit.

Graded graphs (Bratteli diagrams). Examples of graded graphs.
 Family of cotransition probabilities as "Towers of measures".
 Space of paths, invariant (central) measures, main problem.
 Projective (inverse) limit of simplecies and its structure.
 Transfer of metric for by-partive graphs as Kantorovich metric.
 Iteration of transfer and intrinsic metric.
 Uniform compactness and standardness of graph and projective

5.Uniform compactness and standardness of graph and projective limit.

6.Main theorem.

1.Graded graphs (Bratteli diagrams). Examples of graded graphs.
Family of cotransition probabilities as "Towers of measures".
2.Space of paths, invariant (central) measures, main problem.
3.Projective (inverse) limit of simplecies and its structure.
4.Transfer of metric for by-partive graphs as Kantorovich metric.
Iteration of transfer and intrinsic metric.

5.Uniform compactness and standardness of graph and projective limit.

6.Main theorem.

7. Examples: Pascal graph: $d = 1, 2, \ldots$, general hexagonal metric, root metric; Young graph.

Graded graphs (Bratteli diagrams). Examples of graded graphs.
 Family of cotransition probabilities as "Towers of measures".
 Space of paths, invariant (central) measures, main problem.
 Projective (inverse) limit of simplecies and its structure.
 Transfer of metric for by-partive graphs as Kantorovich metric.
 Iteration of transfer and intrinsic metric.

5.Uniform compactness and standardness of graph and projective limit.

6.Main theorem.

7.Examples: Pascal graph: d = 1, 2, ..., general hexagonal metric, root metric; Young graph. 8.Problems.

Graded graphs

Let $\Gamma = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n$ is a \mathbb{N} -graded graph with finite levels Γ_n , and edges between the vertices of the adjacent levels. See examples on the next slides. $\Gamma_0 = \{1\}$

Graded graphs

Let $\Gamma = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n$ is a N-graded graph with finite levels Γ_n , and edges between the vertices of the adjacent levels. See examples on the next slides. $\Gamma_0 = \{1\}$ Set of vertices of the graph or level of the graph denote as $V(\Gamma), V(\Gamma_n)$. Denote $T(\Gamma)$ the set of all infinite paths started at Γ_0 . This is a compact in weak topology.

Graded graphs

Let $\Gamma = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma_n$ is a N-graded graph with finite levels Γ_n , and edges between the vertices of the adjacent levels. See examples on the next slides. $\Gamma_0 = \{1\}$ Set of vertices of the graph or level of the graph denote as $V(\Gamma), V(\Gamma_n)$. Denote $T(\Gamma)$ the set of all infinite paths started at Γ_0 . This is a compact in weak topology. EXAMPLES:

Young graph

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへぐ

Young graph



Graph of the unordered pairs

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ト ▲ 国 ・ の Q () ・

Graph of the unordered pairs



Orbits



Qube of dimension 2^n (vectors with 2^{2^n} coordinats) Graph NUP is the graph of the orbits of the group of automorphism of the tree which acts on the Qube

(4日) (個) (目) (目) (目) (の)

Suppose that for each vertex $v \in \Gamma_n$, n = 1, ... there is a probabilities λ_u^v , $u \ll v$ of the edges, $\sum_u \lambda_u^v = 1$ (cotransition probability). Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_u^v; u, v \in V(\Gamma)\}$.

Suppose that for each vertex $v \in \Gamma_n$, n = 1, ... there is a probabilities λ_u^v , u < v of the edges, $\sum_u \lambda_u^v = 1$ (cotransition probability). Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_u^v; u, v \in V(\Gamma)\}$.

This is a new structure on the graph and we can consider it as follow:

Suppose that for each vertex $v \in \Gamma_n$, n = 1, ... there is a probabilities λ_u^v , u < v of the edges, $\sum_u \lambda_u^v = 1$ (cotransition probability). Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_u^v; u, v \in V(\Gamma)\}$.

This is a new structure on the graph and we can consider it as follow:

Each vertex of the level n + 1 is a measure on the set of vertices of level n, or point of the simplex \sum_n (which is the set of all formal convex combinations of the vertices of level n).

Suppose that for each vertex $v \in \Gamma_n$, n = 1, ... there is a probabilities λ_u^v , u < v of the edges, $\sum_u \lambda_u^v = 1$ (cotransition probability). Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_u^v; u, v \in V(\Gamma)\}$.

This is a new structure on the graph and we can consider it as follow:

Each vertex of the level n + 1 is a measure on the set of vertices of level n, or point of the simplex \sum_n (which is the set of all formal convex combinations of the vertices of level n).

Now the graph can be called as a "Tower of Measures".

Suppose that for each vertex $v \in \Gamma_n$, n = 1, ... there is a probabilities λ_u^v , u < v of the edges, $\sum_u \lambda_u^v = 1$ (cotransition probability). Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_u^v; u, v \in V(\Gamma)\}$.

This is a new structure on the graph and we can consider it as follow:

Each vertex of the level n + 1 is a measure on the set of vertices of level n, or point of the simplex \sum_n (which is the set of all formal convex combinations of the vertices of level n).

Now the graph can be called as a "Tower of Measures".

The notion of so called "Universal Tower of Measures" (1969) (Graph with continuous set of vertices).

Suppose that for each vertex $v \in \Gamma_n$, n = 1, ... there is a probabilities λ_u^v , u < v of the edges, $\sum_u \lambda_u^v = 1$ (cotransition probability). Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_u^v; u, v \in V(\Gamma)\}$.

This is a new structure on the graph and we can consider it as follow:

Each vertex of the level n + 1 is a measure on the set of vertices of level n, or point of the simplex Σ_n (which is the set of all formal convex combinations of the vertices of level n).

Now the graph can be called as a "Tower of Measures".

The notion of so called "Universal Tower of Measures" (1969)

(Graph with continuous set of vertices).

Example: graph of NUP.

Suppose that for each vertex $v \in \Gamma_n$, n = 1, ... there is a probabilities λ_u^v , u < v of the edges, $\sum_u \lambda_u^v = 1$ (cotransition probability). Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_u^v; u, v \in V(\Gamma)\}$.

This is a new structure on the graph and we can consider it as follow:

Each vertex of the level n + 1 is a measure on the set of vertices of level n, or point of the simplex \sum_n (which is the set of all formal convex combinations of the vertices of level n).

Now the graph can be called as a "Tower of Measures".

The notion of so called "Universal Tower of Measures" (1969) (Graph with continuous set of vertices).

Example: graph of NUP.

Definition

A probability measure μ on the space of paths $T(\Gamma)$ called Λ -invariant if the conditional probability of the measure on the subspace of $T(\Gamma)$ of pathes which contain edge (u, v), is equal to λ_u^v .

Example of Λ -structure and central measures

<ロ> <@> < E> < E> E のQの

Example of Λ -structure and central measures

Let v is a vertex of Γ ; denote the number of paths from $\{\emptyset\}$ till v as dimv

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Example of A-structure and central measures

Let v is a vertex of Γ ; denote the number of paths from $\{\emptyset\}$ till v as dimv

Define A-structure as follow: for all $n, u, w \in \Gamma_n, v \in \Gamma_{n+1}; u < v, w < v$

$$\lambda_u^{\mathbf{v}}:\lambda_w^{\mathbf{v}}=\dim u:\dim w$$

(Shortly: λ_{μ}^{v} is proportional to number dimv)

Example of A-structure and central measures

Let v is a vertex of Γ ; denote the number of paths from $\{\emptyset\}$ till v as dimv

Define A-structure as follow: for all

$$n, u, w \in \Gamma_n, v \in \Gamma_{n+1}; u \lessdot v, w \lessdot v$$

$$\lambda_u^{\mathbf{v}}:\lambda_w^{\mathbf{v}}=\dim u:\dim w$$

(Shortly: λ_u^{ν} is proportional to number dim ν) The Λ -invariant measures for this structure called *central measure* on the paths $T(\Gamma)$.

Example of A-structure and central measures

Let v is a vertex of Γ ; denote the number of paths from $\{\emptyset\}$ till v as dimv

Define Λ -structure as follow: for all

$$n, u, w \in \Gamma_n, v \in \Gamma_{n+1}; u \lessdot v, w \lessdot v$$

$$\lambda_u^{\mathbf{v}}:\lambda_w^{\mathbf{v}}=\dim u:\dim w$$

(Shortly: λ_u^v is proportional to number dim v)

The Λ -invariant measures for this structure called *central measure* on the paths $T(\Gamma)$.

There is a canonical bijection between central measures and set of traces on the algebra $C(\Gamma)$ corresponded to the graph Γ . Algebra $C(\Gamma)$ is locally semi-simple algebra for which graph Γ is graph of simple modules of it. If $C(\Gamma)$ is group algebra of locally finite group, then central measures are characters of the group. Ergodic central measures correspond to indecomposable traces or characters.

Main Problem

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへぐ

PROBLEM. To describe the list of all central measures on the space $\mathcal{T}(\Gamma).$

PROBLEM. To describe the list of all central measures on the space $\mathcal{T}(\Gamma).$

More general: To describe the list of all $\Lambda\text{-invariant}$ measure for given family of cotransition probability Λ

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

PROBLEM. To describe the list of all central measures on the space $T(\Gamma)$.

More general: To describe the list of all $\Lambda\text{-invariant}$ measure for given family of cotransition probability Λ

About the problem. Characters of infinite symmetric group;

PROBLEM. To describe the list of all central measures on the space $T(\Gamma)$.

More general: To describe the list of all $\Lambda\text{-invariant}$ measure for given family of cotransition probability Λ

About the problem. Characters of infinite symmetric group; Dynkin exit-boundary;

PROBLEM. To describe the list of all central measures on the space $T(\Gamma)$.

More general: To describe the list of all $\Lambda\text{-invariant}$ measure for given family of cotransition probability Λ

About the problem. Characters of infinite symmetric group; Dynkin exit-boundary;

random walk on graphs and groups etc.

Inverse (projective) limit of simplecies
◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Why simplecies?

Why simplecies? Consider for each level *n*-th of the graph the simplex Σ_n of all formal convex combinations of the vertexes of the level of graph Γ_n .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Why simplecies?

Consider for each level *n*-th of the graph the simplex Σ_n of all formal convex combinations of the vertexes of the level of graph Γ_n . This is the set of all probability measures on Γ_n . If we identify the vertex $v \in \Gamma_n$ with uniform measure on the set of paths from \emptyset to v, then simplex Σ_n could be considered as set of all central measures on $T_n(\Gamma)$ = set of all (finite) pathes till the level *n*.

Why simplecies?

Consider for each level *n*-th of the graph the simplex Σ_n of all formal convex combinations of the vertexes of the level of graph Γ_n . This is the set of all probability measures on Γ_n . If we identify the vertex $v \in \Gamma_n$ with uniform measure on the set of paths from \emptyset to v, then simplex Σ_n could be considered as set of all central measures on $T_n(\Gamma)$ = set of all (finite) pathes till the level *n*. So inverse or projective limit of the simplices used to be the set of all central measures on the space $T(\Gamma)$ of paths of the graph Γ .

Why simplecies?

Consider for each level *n*-th of the graph the simplex Σ_n of all formal convex combinations of the vertexes of the level of graph Γ_n . This is the set of all probability measures on Γ_n . If we identify the vertex $v \in \Gamma_n$ with uniform measure on the set of paths from \emptyset to v, then simplex Σ_n could be considered as set of all central measures on $T_n(\Gamma) =$ set of all (finite) pathes till the level *n*. So inverse or projective limit of the simplices used to be the set of all central measures on the space $T(\Gamma)$ of paths of the graph Γ . The Problem above reduces to the

Why simplecies?

Consider for each level *n*-th of the graph the simplex Σ_n of all formal convex combinations of the vertexes of the level of graph Γ_n . This is the set of all probability measures on Γ_n . If we identify the vertex $v \in \Gamma_n$ with uniform measure on the set of paths from \emptyset to v, then simplex Σ_n could be considered as set of all central measures on $T_n(\Gamma) =$ set of all (finite) pathes till the level *n*. So inverse or projective limit of the simplices used to be the set of all central measures on the space $T(\Gamma)$ of paths of the graph Γ . The Problem above reduces to the Problem: To describe the projective limit of the simplecies.

Why simplecies?

Consider for each level *n*-th of the graph the simplex Σ_n of all formal convex combinations of the vertexes of the level of graph Γ_n . This is the set of all probability measures on Γ_n . If we identify the vertex $v \in \Gamma_n$ with uniform measure on the set of paths from \emptyset to v, then simplex Σ_n could be considered as set of all central measures on $T_n(\Gamma)$ = set of all (finite) pathes till the level n. So inverse or projective limit of the simplices used to be the set of all central measures on the space $T(\Gamma)$ of paths of the graph Γ . The Problem above reduces to the

Problem: To describe the projective limit of the simplecies.

The same question can be put for general set of the cotransition probabilities Λ .

Our main result is to divide the set of graded graphs on to two classes depending on the type of answer on the problem: -standard when the problem has visible answer and nonstandard.

About simplex

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ■ ∽ � � �

About simplex

Let Σ is a simplex of the dimension *n*. For $x \in \Sigma$ denote $\mu_x^1, \mu_x^2 \dots \mu_x^{n+1}$ its the barycenter coordinates of the point x and μ_x — the corresponding measure on $ex(\Sigma)$ -set of vertices of Σ , so the barycenter of measure μ is $x : bar(\mu_x) = x$.

About simplex

Let Σ is a simplex of the dimension *n*. For $x \in \Sigma$ denote $\mu_x^1, \mu_x^2 \dots \mu_x^{n+1}$ its the barycenter coordinates of the point *x* and μ_x — the corresponding measure on $ex(\Sigma)$ -set of vertices of Σ , so the barycenter of measure μ is $x : bar(\mu_x) = x$. We will use the bijection $x \longrightarrow \mu_x$ between points of Σ and probability measures on $ex(\Sigma)$.

Projective (Inverse) Limit of the simplices

Projective (Inverse) Limit of the simplices



 $d \geq n$

 $n = \infty - ???$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの

$$\lim_{\leftarrow} (\Sigma_n, p_{n,m}) = \Sigma_{\infty}, \quad p_{n,m}: \Sigma_n \to \Sigma_m, 1 \le m \le n \le \infty.$$

$$\lim_{\leftarrow} (\Sigma_n, p_{n,m}) = \Sigma_{\infty}, \quad p_{n,m}: \Sigma_n \to \Sigma_m, 1 \le m \le n \le \infty.$$

The point of Σ_∞ is a sequence

$$\{x_n\}: x_n \in \Sigma_n, \quad p(n,m)x_n = x_m.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

1. Projective limit of the affine simplices is the compact simplex (in weak or projective topology).

$$\lim_{\leftarrow} (\Sigma_n, p_{n,m}) = \Sigma_{\infty}, \quad p_{n,m}: \Sigma_n \to \Sigma_m, 1 \le m \le n \le \infty.$$

The point of Σ_∞ is a sequence

$$\{x_n\}: x_n \in \Sigma_n, \quad p(n,m)x_n = x_m.$$

 Projective limit of the affine simplices is the compact simplex (in weak or projective topology).
Criteria of the extremality {x_n} ∈ Σ_∞:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$\lim_{\leftarrow} (\Sigma_n, p_{n,m}) = \Sigma_{\infty}, \quad p_{n,m}: \Sigma_n \to \Sigma_m, 1 \le m \le n \le \infty.$$

The point of Σ_∞ is a sequence

$$\{x_n\}$$
: $x_n \in \Sigma_n$, $p(n,m)x_n = x_m$.

 Projective limit of the affine simplices is the compact simplex (in weak or projective topology).
Criteria of the extremality {x_n} ∈ Σ_∞:

Theorem

Then point $\{x_n\}$ is extremal point of Σ_{∞} iff

$$\forall m \quad w - \lim_{n} p_{n,m}^*(\mu_{x_n}) = \delta_{x_m}.$$

Structure of infinite-dimensional simplices

Structure of infinite-dimensional simplices

Bauer and Poulsen simplecies, universality, Dynamical examples.

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

Structure of infinite-dimensional simplices

Bauer and Poulsen simplecies, universality, Dynamical examples.

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

Kantorovich metric: extension of metric on 0-skeleton of the finite dimensional simplex to the whole simplex

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Kantorovich metric: extension of metric on 0-skeleton of the finite dimensional simplex to the whole simplex

Suppose we have a metric ρ on the set of vertices $e_X(\Sigma)$ of the finite-dimension simplex Σ .

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Kantorovich metric: extension of metric on 0-skeleton of the finite dimensional simplex to the whole simplex

Suppose we have a metric ρ on the set of vertices $ex(\Sigma)$ of the finite-dimension simplex Σ .

How to extend it to the whole simplex?

Let $X, Y \in \Sigma$, $e_X(\Sigma) = \{e_1, \dots e_k\}$ and r is metric on $e_X(\Sigma)$; then

$$\rho(X,Y) \doteq k_r(\mu_X,\mu_Y),$$

where $k_r(.,.)$ is Kantorovich (transport) metric on the measures on $(ex(\Sigma), r)$.

Kantorovich metric: extension of metric on 0-skeleton of the finite dimensional simplex to the whole simplex

Suppose we have a metric ρ on the set of vertices $e_X(\Sigma)$ of the finite-dimension simplex Σ .

How to extend it to the whole simplex?

Let $X, Y \in \Sigma$, $e_X(\Sigma) = \{e_1, \dots e_k\}$ and r is metric on $e_X(\Sigma)$; then

$$\rho(X,Y) \doteq k_r(\mu_X,\mu_Y),$$

where $k_r(.,.)$ is Kantorovich (transport) metric on the measures on $(ex(\Sigma), r)$. Formula:

$$\rho(X, Y) \equiv k_r(\nu_X, \nu_Y) = \min_{\psi} \sum_{i,j} \Psi_{i,j} r(e_i, e_j) :$$
$$\Psi = \{\psi_{i,j} \ge 0\}; \quad \sum_j \Psi_{i,j} = \mu_X(i), \sum_i \Psi_{i,j} = \mu_Y(j).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 → りへぐ

Let $r(i,j) = 1, i \neq j$ is the metric on the vertices $\{e_i\}i = 1, \ldots n$ of standard simplex $\Sigma_n \subset R^{n+1}$. Then the extension of the metric r is the metric ρ on the affine space $A^n = \{x \in R^{n+1} : \sum_i x_i = 1\}$ invariant under translations ("root metric"); the norm $||x|| = \rho(a, a + x)$ is hexagonal norm, or restriction of l^1 norm in R^{n+1} on $R^n = \{x \in R^{n+1} : \sum_i x_i = 0\}$ (Cartan subalgebra for A_n .)

Let $r(i,j) = 1, i \neq j$ is the metric on the vertices $\{e_i\}i = 1, \ldots n$ of standard simplex $\Sigma_n \subset R^{n+1}$. Then the extension of the metric r is the metric ρ on the affine space $A^n = \{x \in R^{n+1} : \sum_i x_i = 1\}$ invariant under translations ("root metric"); the norm $||x|| = \rho(a, a + x)$ is hexagonal norm, or restriction of l^1 norm in R^{n+1} on $R^n = \{x \in R^{n+1} : \sum_i x_i = 0\}$ (Cartan subalgebra for A_n .) In the general case the unit ball for (K - R)-norm generating by Kantorovich extension of metric r is the convex hall B_r on the Affine Σ of all "roots", e.g. vectors:

$$e_{i,j} = \frac{\delta_i - \delta_j}{r(i,j)}, \quad i,j = 1 \dots n, i \neq j.$$

Let $r(i,j) = 1, i \neq j$ is the metric on the vertices $\{e_i\}i = 1, \ldots n$ of standard simplex $\Sigma_n \subset R^{n+1}$. Then the extension of the metric r is the metric ρ on the affine space $A^n = \{x \in R^{n+1} : \sum_i x_i = 1\}$ invariant under translations ("root metric"); the norm $||x|| = \rho(a, a + x)$ is hexagonal norm, or restriction of l^1 norm in R^{n+1} on $R^n = \{x \in R^{n+1} : \sum_i x_i = 0\}$ (Cartan subalgebra for A_n .) In the general case the unit ball for (K - R)-norm generating by Kantorovich extension of metric r is the convex hall B_r on the Affine Σ of all "roots", e.g. vectors:

$$e_{i,j} = rac{\delta_i - \delta_j}{r(i,j)}, \quad i,j = 1 \dots n, i \neq j.$$

(lemma P-V)

Let $r(i,j) = 1, i \neq j$ is the metric on the vertices $\{e_i\}i = 1, ..., n$ of standard simplex $\Sigma_n \subset R^{n+1}$. Then the extension of the metric r is the metric ρ on the affine space $A^n = \{x \in R^{n+1} : \sum_i x_i = 1\}$ invariant under translations ("root metric"); the norm $||x|| = \rho(a, a + x)$ is hexagonal norm, or restriction of l^1 norm in R^{n+1} on $R^n = \{x \in R^{n+1} : \sum_i x_i = 0\}$ (Cartan subalgebra for A_n .) In the general case the unit ball for (K - R)-norm generating by Kantorovich extension of metric r is the convex hall B_r on the Affine Σ of all "roots", e.g. vectors:

$$e_{i,j} = \frac{\delta_i - \delta_j}{r(i,j)}, \quad i,j = 1 \dots n, i \neq j.$$

(lemma P-V)

Now we want to transfer metric from the level Γ_n to the level Γ_{n+1} and from the simplex to the next one. Because of λ structure each vertex of on Γ_{n+1} is the measure on Γ_n , consequently, point of Σ_n . Transfer of metric in bi-partive graphs

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 画 ▶ ▲ 画 → のへで

Transfer of metric in bi-partive graphs



The metric r on the set A, B, C, D done

The probabilities λ are known

To define a metric on the set E, F, G, H

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

◆□ → <□ → < Ξ → < Ξ → Ξ < の < ☉</p>

Suppose we have projective limit of the simplices $\{\Sigma_n, p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$:

Suppose we have projective limit of the simplices $\{\Sigma_n, p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$:

$$\Sigma_1 \equiv [0,1] \leftarrow \Sigma_2 \leftarrow \ldots \Sigma_n \leftarrow \Sigma_{n+1} \ldots,$$

and initial metric ρ_1 on the Σ_1 , then we can sequentially define a sequence of the metrics ρ_n on the simplices Σ_n , n > 1: we consider metric ρ_n on $p_n(ex\Sigma_{n+1}) \subset \Sigma_n$, thus we have a metric on the vertices of Σ_{n+1} and then ρ_{n+1} is extension of it on whole simplex Σ_{n+1} .

Suppose we have projective limit of the simplices $\{\Sigma_n, p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$:

$$\Sigma_1 \equiv [0,1] \leftarrow \Sigma_2 \leftarrow \ldots \Sigma_n \leftarrow \Sigma_{n+1} \ldots,$$

and initial metric ρ_1 on the Σ_1 , then we can sequentially define a sequence of the metrics ρ_n on the simplices Σ_n , n > 1: we consider metric ρ_n on $p_n(ex\Sigma_{n+1}) \subset \Sigma_n$, thus we have a metric on the vertices of Σ_{n+1} and then ρ_{n+1} is extension of it on whole simplex Σ_{n+1} .

Definition

The sequence

$$\{\Sigma_n, p_{n,m}, \rho_n\}$$

defines the projective limit Σ_∞ which is equipped with intrinsic metrics.

Suppose we have projective limit of the simplices $\{\Sigma_n, p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$:

$$\Sigma_1 \equiv [0,1] \leftarrow \Sigma_2 \leftarrow \ldots \Sigma_n \leftarrow \Sigma_{n+1} \ldots,$$

and initial metric ρ_1 on the Σ_1 , then we can sequentially define a sequence of the metrics ρ_n on the simplices Σ_n , n > 1: we consider metric ρ_n on $p_n(ex\Sigma_{n+1}) \subset \Sigma_n$, thus we have a metric on the vertices of Σ_{n+1} and then ρ_{n+1} is extension of it on whole simplex Σ_{n+1} .

Definition

The sequence

$$\{\Sigma_n, p_{n,m}, \rho_n\}$$

defines the projective limit Σ_∞ which is equipped with intrinsic metrics.

Important Remark. There is no serious dependence of the properties of intrinsic metric on initial metric ρ_1 .
Definition

Graded graph $\Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_n$ (and the corresponded projective limit of simplecies $\lim_n \Sigma(\Gamma_n) \equiv \Sigma_{\infty}(\Gamma)$) called standard if the set of all simplecies $\Sigma_n, n = 1 \dots \infty$ are uniformly compact,

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Definition

Graded graph $\Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_n$ (and the corresponded projective limit of simplecies $\lim_n \Sigma(\Gamma_n) \equiv \Sigma_{\infty}(\Gamma)$) called standard if the set of all simplecies $\Sigma_n, n = 1 \dots \infty$ are uniformly compact, this means:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \sup_{n} \{ N_{\epsilon}(\Sigma_{n}, \rho_{n}) \} < \infty,$$

here $N_{\epsilon}(K, r)$ is number of points in the ϵ -net of compact K with respect to the metric r.

Definition

Graded graph $\Gamma = \bigcup \Gamma_n$ (and the corresponded projective limit of simplecies $\lim_n \Sigma(\Gamma_n) \equiv \Sigma_{\infty}(\Gamma)$) called standard if the set of all simplecies $\Sigma_n, n = 1 \dots \infty$ are uniformly compact, this means:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \sup_{n} \{ N_{\epsilon}(\Sigma_{n}, \rho_{n}) \} < \infty,$$

here $N_{\epsilon}(K, r)$ is number of points in the ϵ -net of compact K with respect to the metric r.

Theorem

If the projective limit is standard then the intrinsic metrics ρ_n define intrinsic metric on the limit simplex Σ_{infty} , and the last is compact so weak topology agrees with extended intrinsic metric.

Corollaries

Theorem

If the projective limit is standard then the Chouqet boundary (set of extremal points) of the simplex Σ_{∞}) is closed (and compact) with respect to extended intrinsic metric.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Corollaries

Theorem

If the projective limit is standard then the Chouqet boundary (set of extremal points) of the simplex Σ_{∞}) is closed (and compact) with respect to extended intrinsic metric. Consequently, the list of all ergodic central measures (or Λ -invariant measures) on the space of paths of graded Graph Γ is the limit of the levels Γ_n in intrinsic metric.

Corollaries

Theorem

If the projective limit is standard then the Chouqet boundary (set of extremal points) of the simplex Σ_{∞}) is closed (and compact) with respect to extended intrinsic metric.

Consequently, the list of all ergodic central measures (or Λ -invariant measures) on the space of paths of graded Graph Γ is the limit of the levels Γ_n in intrinsic metric.

Conclusion. The usual difficulties in the problem of these type is the following: the list of the invariant (central) measures is "known" and the goal is to prove that indeed this is the list. Usually this is difficult question because procedure of the finding of the measures like "ergodic method" is too complicate. Our method looks like "apriority estimation": if we prove the standardness then the visual list is complete.

1. Consider Pascal graph of an finite dimension (Pascal graph of dimension 2 is classical one and it is \mathbb{Z}_+^2 . The multidimensional Pascal graph is \mathbb{Z}_+^d .

1. Consider Pascal graph of an finite dimension (Pascal graph of dimension 2 is classical one and it is \mathbb{Z}_+^2 . The multidimensional Pascal graph is \mathbb{Z}_+^d .

Theorem

These graphs are standard. The limit simplex (simplex of central measures) is simplex of probability measures on the simplex on dimension d - 1 (generalized De Finetti theorem). The intrinsic metric (norm) is hexagonal metric (see above). icmetric

1. Consider Pascal graph of an finite dimension (Pascal graph of dimension 2 is classical one and it is \mathbb{Z}_+^2 . The multidimensional Pascal graph is \mathbb{Z}_+^d .

Theorem

These graphs are standard. The limit simplex (simplex of central measures) is simplex of probability measures on the simplex on dimension d - 1 (generalized De Finetti theorem). The intrinsic metric (norm) is hexagonal metric (see above). icmetric 2.Young graph (Thoma's theorem).

1. Consider Pascal graph of an finite dimension (Pascal graph of dimension 2 is classical one and it is \mathbb{Z}_+^2 . The multidimensional Pascal graph is \mathbb{Z}_+^d .

Theorem

These graphs are standard. The limit simplex (simplex of central measures) is simplex of probability measures on the simplex on dimension d - 1 (generalized De Finetti theorem). The intrinsic metric (norm) is hexagonal metric (see above). icmetric

2. Young graph (Thoma's theorem).

3. Nonstandard graphs. Poulsen simplex.

1. Consider Pascal graph of an finite dimension (Pascal graph of dimension 2 is classical one and it is \mathbb{Z}_+^2 . The multidimensional Pascal graph is \mathbb{Z}_+^d .

Theorem

These graphs are standard. The limit simplex (simplex of central measures) is simplex of probability measures on the simplex on dimension d - 1 (generalized De Finetti theorem). The intrinsic metric (norm) is hexagonal metric (see above). icmetric

2. Young graph (Thoma's theorem).

3. Nonstandard graphs. Poulsen simplex. .

Calculations of diameter.

1. Consider Pascal graph of an finite dimension (Pascal graph of dimension 2 is classical one and it is \mathbb{Z}_+^2 . The multidimensional Pascal graph is \mathbb{Z}_+^d .

Theorem

These graphs are standard. The limit simplex (simplex of central measures) is simplex of probability measures on the simplex on dimension d - 1 (generalized De Finetti theorem). The intrinsic metric (norm) is hexagonal metric (see above). icmetric

- 2. Young graph (Thoma's theorem).
- 3. Nonstandard graphs. Poulsen simplex. .
- Calculations of diameter.
- 4.Limit shape theorems.

<ロ> <@> < E> < E> E のQの

1. Consider the graph of non-ordered pairs (NUP)

1.Consider the graph of non-ordered pairs (NUP) Ergodic measures (extremal points of the projective limit). The Orbits of the group of automorphism of the dyadic tree.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

1.Consider the graph of non-ordered pairs (NUP) Ergodic measures (extremal points of the projective limit). The Orbits of the group of automorphism of the dyadic tree. 2.Young graph and other: how to prove the standardness.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <